



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

# ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



*The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -*

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.41, No.37

September 23rd, 2005

**THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:** "In the case of man, that which he creates is more expressive of him than that which he begets. The image of the artist and the poet is imprinted more clearly on his works than on his children."  
– Nicholas Berdyaev: *"The Destiny of Man"*

## **THE 'GREAT BETRAYAL' OF THE AUSTRALIAN PEOPLE** by Betty Luks:

I have had the privilege of knowing and working with a Latvian-Australian lady since the early 1970s. In the years I have known her she has taken a very active part in the League of Rights' volunteer team in Adelaide, South Australia. Not too many years ago – she is now in her late eighties – I asked her why she continued to push herself when she was well past the age of retirement and could be enjoying her old age with those friends she has known since her youth. You know, take part in social activities like visiting friends, enjoying afternoon teas and (maybe) the latest gossip, day-trips to places of interest, etc., etc.

**Her answer to me was in effect:** "I must do what I can. I know what is happening to this nation, I can and must do something about it. If I didn't, the day would come when my granddaughter would visit my grave and say: 'Grandma, look at what has happened to us. Why didn't you do anything to try to stop it?' And, she would then spit on my grave."

You see, the older Latvian-Australians know what it is like to live under brutal, oppressive regimes. First it was the Russian Soviets who invaded Latvia, then the Nazis during WWII and, because of the betrayal of Western leaders, after WWII the Russian Soviets came once more – this time for decades. In hundreds of years the only real freedom the Latvian peoples tasted was between the end of WWI to the early part of WWII.

**Mayor Peter Davis and the Telstra 'deal':** I was reminded of my old friend's words when I heard Mayor Peter Davis of Port Lincoln express his anger and disgust at the betrayal of the Australian people by Senator Barnaby Joyce. Senator Joyce used his casting vote in the Senate to clear the way for the traitorous Howard regime to sell off the rest of the people's public asset Telstra.

Those who heard Peter on the ABC television news, Thursday, September 15th, 2005, know that he thought spitting was too good for the remains of Senator Barnaby Joyce when in his coffin/grave. The Mayor of Port Lincoln had other ideas.

**Powers and responsibilities of the Governor-General:** At the League of Rights' annual "Frank

**IN THIS ISSUE:** • *Thought For The Week* • *The 'Great Betrayal' Of The Australian People* • *Mark Latham's Diaries – Who Cares?* • *Outing The "Racists"* • *Professor Andrew Fraser's Views To Be Published*

Bawden Memorial Dinner", Dr. David Mitchell outlined the Constitutional responsibilities and duties of the Governor General. Which leads us to ask:

**Can the Australian people join together on this one important issue and convince the Governor-General to act on their behalf?**

Surely telecommunications is a vital national public utility and should not be in the hands of foreigners nor potential enemies – and what about our military defence?

**Send to the Mayo Tape Library, Box 6 Hahndorf, SA, 5245,** for the Frank Bawden Memorial Dinner address by constitutional authority Dr. David Mitchell – \$6.00 posted – and then ask yourself, can the Australian people forget their differences and come together on this one most important issue? Is there a constitutional power the people can insist the Governor General use to still block the sale of Telstra?

**MARK LATHAM'S DIARIES – WHO CARES?** by Betty Luks:

We were treated to quite a performance by the clowns in the House of Representatives the day after Barnaby Joyce and his fellow Nationals sold out, not just the rural and regional people, but all Australians. Why such a fanfare about Latham's coming book on that particular day? The book was not due to be launched till the following week.

The parliamentary performances by the pair of clowns, Abbott and Costello, were intended to deflect our rage at the betrayal by the Liberals and Nationals.

**The polls were showing 90 per cent of the people were opposed to the sale of the rest of Telstra. Ninety per cent!**

Who cares that Mark Latham sees fit to 'reveal all' about his fellow Labor politicians and their spiteful, back-stabbing ways? Good God! Australians already know how treacherous party politicians can be.

**But, why flood the airwaves with the story that very day? Why? Because, all the better to take our minds off the Great Betrayal by the Liberals and Nationals my dears!**

**OUTING THE "RACISTS"** by James Reed:

"Race-Hate Campaigner Unmasked" – announced a headline of *The Australian*, August 22nd, 2005, p.4. Members of the White Nationalist Group, White Pride Coalition have been protesting the settlement of 750 Sudanese refugees in the town of Toowoomba. They object to the settlement of these refugees on a variety of grounds including the unemployment of these people due to their (understandable) lack of English and the well-placed fear that similar forced, undemocratic settlements of such racially distinct peoples has led to misery for all. The article "outed" Jim Perren as the White Pride Coalition's (WPC) chief operative in the area. The article implies that the WPC is committing the ultimate politically correct sin by saying in distributed material that white women are the "world's most endangered species". We are also likely to draw the inference that these political activities are a cause of one refugee family leaving its home because of harassment and for other refugees being "pelted with eggs and potatoes".

Mr. Perren apparently works as a volunteer in Toowoomba's Milne Bay Military Museum. The curator is cited as describing Perren as "fine and decent" and that the White Pride Coalition are "not extremists, they're patriots standing up for their country. They say what the majority of Australians think". *The Australian* adds "in comments that could rattle the Defence Department, the museum's owner". Have a guess who will soon be having trouble keeping their job.

My sense of humour was restored when in the same edition of *The Australian* I read that an Ivory

Coast illegal alien Cheikh Kane had been released from Port headland detention centre and given a bill for \$98,260:10 for the costs of having kept him locked up. Asylum-seekers are liable for the costs of locking them up. It is a pity that the feminist law/art types who champion the causes of these aliens were not also liable for the costs of their advocacy. Where is their money when the alien has the big bill to pay?

### **THE FATHER OF MULTICULTURALISM AND ASIANISATION** by Brian Simpson:

In the celebration of 60 years since the ending of World War II in *The Australian*, the issue of Arthur Calwell, post WWII immigration and the Ending of the White Australia Policy was discussed. Paul Kelly, *The Australian's* "editor at large" wrote an insightful piece for part 7 of the "WWII" supplement "A Nation Comes of Age". WWII led to a "new order of racial equality." Curtin and Chifley are the heroes for the new class: "In their war mobilisation and post-war planning, Curtin and Chifley took a series of decisions that changed Australia forever. Their guiding ideas were a more powerful national government, a strategy of full employment, and a bigger, bolder Australia by resort to immigration. It was Curtin's planning for a social democratic Australia that inspired both Gough Whitlam and Bob Hawke."

If it inspired them, then there must, by definition, have been something problematic with Curtin and Chifley's vision, for the damage to Australia done by Whitlam and Hawke was extensive.

Chifley appointed Arthur Calwell as immigration minister in July 1945. Calwell was a mass immigration supporter. An Irish Catholic in the tradition of Paul Keating, Calwell resented the Anglo-Saxon Protestant dominance of Australia. Although he gave lip service to the preservation of Australia's British racial heritage, he soon actively sought migrants from Southern and Eastern Europe. Calwell was a Fabian socialist and gave lip service to the ideal of preserving a White Australia while actively working to undermine it. As Paul Kelly says: "Calwell saw mass immigration as consistent with White Australia but... it was the long-range death knell."

I differ from Kelly in seeing Calwell as an earlier version of Paul Keating. Calwell seconded the ALP Federal Conference, chaired by R.W. Holt, which led to the dropping of the word "White" from the ALP's immigration policy, and which led to a headline at the time (1965) "ALP Move to Drop 'White' Admit Asians Policy". Even in 1946 he was acting to help Jews come to Australia, and in 1947 he tried to remove some of the restrictions on Chinese migration, but was no doubt cautioned about moving too fast by Cabinet. Calwell, despite his book *Be Just and Fear Not*, is the Fabian socialist father of immigration and Asianisation and he is now celebrated as such in the university immigration/multicultural text books.

Calwell back on April 16th, 1945, in *The Age*, supported laws outlawing anti-Semitism and "racial prejudice". The Jews honour Calwell as the "migrants champion". He was honoured for allowing the mass migration of Jews to Australia in the post WWII period by having a memorial forest in Israel named after him. In an article published in *The Weekend Australian*, November 9th-10th, 1996, p.9. "Israel Honour for Immigration Pioneer Calwell", Joe Krycer of the Jewish National Fund is quoted as saying: "Arthur Calwell was the first well-known Australian politician to push [the line] that immigration should not be restricted to people from the British Isles. His politics changed the face of Australia."

I believe that Calwell put us on the road to the current White racial crisis. All of this was done when the atomic bomb demonstrated the superiority of advanced technology over population numbers.\*

\* This critique is developed in a special edition of *The New Times Survey*, August 2005. "Racial Treason: From White Australia to Yellow Australia". Send to the Melbourne Book Shop for your copies.

Sydney academic Andrew Fraser is to publish his views on race and culture in a Victorian university law journal. According to *The Australian* Professor Fraser said the acceptance of his 6800-word article by the peer-reviewed journal of Deakin University law school vindicated his stand.

The article, "Rethinking the White Australia Policy" which appears later this month, argues that the latest science supports the decision by the founding fathers to preserve Australia as an Anglo-Saxon nation. It is reported Professor Fraser has words about the revisionist historian Keith Windschuttle for being "tender minded" in his recent book on the policy. Professor Fraser writes that Mr Windschuttle defends the policy from accusations of racism but, like its critics on the Left, he too refuses to accept the reality of racial difference.

As previously reported in *On Target*, the issue originally surfaced with a letter by Professor Fraser to a suburban newspaper about Sudanese immigration, race, intelligence, crime and social cohesion. Macquarie vice-chancellor Di Yerbury condemned him for speaking outside his expertise, although she cited threats and safety concerns when suspending him from teaching.

"The fact that the Deakin Law Review has seen fit to publish my article ... so quickly after the media controversy over my public comments exposes the claim made by Professor Yerbury and others that I have been speaking outside my area of expertise as an obvious furphy," Professor Fraser told *The Australian*.

Professor Yerbury had not had time to read the article yesterday but said: "If it is an article which deals with the alleged links between race and IQ, or race and propensity for violence, I am advised that the relevant expertise would at least have to include cognitive psychology, genetics and anthropology. These are not Drew Fraser's qualifications."

Deakin journal editor and law lecturer James McConvill said there had been "some hesitation (about publishing the article), I guess, simply because of the drama that Macquarie got itself into". He said the Fraser article dealt with public policy and fell within the journal's charter "to raise legal, moral and social issues".

Journal policy was to act on advice from "double blind" academic review – neither author nor reviewer should know each other's identity. But Professor Fraser's authorship was clear to two potential reviewers who "rejected it in terms of not wanting to consider it", Mr. McConvill said.

Two other reviewers – a legal academic and a sociologist with expertise in the White Australia policy – advised publication.

Mr. McConvill said he could not reveal the identity of the reviewers. Asked whether he expected the decision to publish would attract criticism or protest, he said: "From my perspective, it was quite a well-considered piece, it wasn't overly rhetorical. Before people could judge, they should actually read the article."

The university suspended Professor Fraser from lecturing in July when he declared he would ignore an edict that he stop using his position to express his views on race, IQs and high crime risks.